Cosc 241 Programming and Problem Solving Lecture 6 (12/3/20) Analysis of recursive algorithms

Michael Albert michael.albert@cs.otago.ac.nz





Factorial

```
Factorial(n):

if n = 1 then

return n

else

return n \times \text{Factorial}(n - 1)

end if
```

How many multiplications, f(n), are required?

If n = 1, f(n) = 0. If n > 1 then f(n) = 1 + f(n-1). So f(n) = n - 1, in particular f(n) = O(n).

General rule: "constant plus previous case" gives O(n).

Greatest common divisor

- ► How can we compute the greatest common divisor of two positive integers *a* and *b* (suppose that *a* ≤ *b*)?
- First case, *a* is a divisor of *b*, then the answer is *a*.
- Otherwise, the answer is the greatest common divisor of a and the remainder when b is divided by a.
- Can collapse first case into second by remembering that gcd(0, b) = b for all b.

GCD(a, b):

if a = 0 then
 return b
end if
return GCD(b% a, a)

Sample computation

$$gcd(15, 24) = gcd(9, 15)$$

= $gcd(6, 9)$
= $gcd(3, 6)$
= $gcd(0, 3)$
= 3

- How many steps (i.e., recursive calls) might this require in the worst case?
- As we see above, we could well have b% a quite large relative to a.
- ▶ But, if it is > a/2 then the next remainder is < a/2, while if is ≤ a/2 then the next remainder is smaller still.</p>
- The first argument is reduced by a factor of at least 2 every two steps.

How often can we divide by two?

- ▶ If $a < 2^n$, then after *n* integer divisions by two, we will get 0.
- So if we take the logarithm (base 2) of a and round up that many divisions kills us.
- In other words, the number of calls in GCD is at worst 2 log a. We can actually do a bit better than this but don't care because constants.
- Its complexity is O(log a).
- General rule: any recursive algorithm where the size reduces by a constant factor (greater than 1) in one call has complexity O(log n) (and that's good!)

```
Recursive Fibonacci

Fib(n):

if n \le 1 then

return 1

end if

return Fib(n - 1) + Fib(n - 2)
```

- How much work to compute Fib(n)? Call the amount of work, f(n).
- ► One test, one arithmetic operation, one call to Fib(n 1) and one to Fib(n 2), so:

$$f(n) = 2 + f(n-1) + f(n-2)$$

- The amount of work done behaves like the Fibonacci numbers themselves, and they grow exponentially.
- General rule: two (or more) recursive calls where the size reduces by a constant amount leads to exponential complexity (bad!)

Computing powers

Problem: Evaluate aⁿ

- A naive recursive (or iterative) algorithm uses a¹ = a, aⁿ = a × aⁿ⁻¹ and has complexity O(n) (in fact, does exactly n − 1 multiplications).
- Can we do better?
- First thing to observe is that if n is even, we frequently can, e.g.,

$$a^6 = (a^2)^3 = (a \times a)^3$$

- Since we can first compute a² (one multiplication), then compute the third power of that (two multiplications), we use three multiplications instead of five.
- But what about the odd case?

Clever powering

```
Power(a, n):

if n = 0 then

return 1

end if

p \leftarrow Power(a \times a, n/2) (integer division)

if n \% 2 = 1 then

p \leftarrow a \times p

end if

return p
```

In the worst case we do one test, one multiplication $(a \times a)$, one call reducing *n* by a factor of 2, one more test, and one more multiplication. By our general rules, that's $O(\log n)$.

Big-Oh algebra



The O can absorb a lot of stuff for instance:

- if f(n) = O(g(n)) then 100f(n) + 3g(n) = O(g(n)),
- ▶ if f(n) = O(g(n)) and g(n) = O(h(n)) then f(n) = O(h(n))
- The important thing is to remember to read f(n) = O(g(n)) as "(some multiple of) g grows at least as quickly as f", and then use common sense.