

Cosc 241  
Programming and Problem Solving  
Lecture 14 (11/4/2019)  
Building a stack

Lech Szymanski  
[lechszym@cs.otago.ac.nz](mailto:lechszym@cs.otago.ac.nz)



*Keywords:* **stack**, **amortized analysis**,  
**exceptions**



# Stack ADT

---

```
public interface Stack241<T> {  
  
    public void push (T element);  
    public T pop();  
    public T peek();  
    public boolean isEmpty();  
    public int size();  
  
}
```

---

# Array implementation

- ▶ Use an array to keep track of the stack contents.
- ▶ A variable `size` will track the size of the stack.
- ▶ Problems?
  - ▶ Generic array creation is not possible (why not?)
  - ▶ How do we cope if “too much” gets pushed onto the stack?

# Performance analysis

- ▶ What are the time and space costs of each of the operations?
- ▶ Space first – we allocate  $O(1)$  space initially (because of the default capacity).
- ▶ Later, when we expand capacity we never have more than twice as much available as needed, so that's  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the maximum number of items we ever include in the stack.
- ▶ Time for `pop`, `peek`, `isEmpty` are all clearly  $O(1)$ .
- ▶ The push operation is also  $O(1)$ , except when we need to expand the stack – what then?

## Amortized cost

- ▶ It seems unfair to associate the cost of the stack expansion to the single item that caused it,
- ▶ To be completely accurate we *do* have to say that a `push` operation can be  $O(n)$ .
- ▶ But practically, we can think of the cost of expansion as being spread over all the elements present when it happened.
- ▶ Since there are  $n$  elements present, and the expansion is  $O(n)$ , that means that in an **amortized sense** the `push` operation is still  $O(1)$ .
- ▶ Essentially, we imagine borrowing a little bit of time every time we do a `push`, and spending it all when the expansion is needed.
- ▶ Operationally though, we may observe occasional slow downs if using very large stacks.

## Exceptions etc.

- ▶ The `peek` and `pop` operations need to do *something* on empty stacks.
- ▶ One “solution” is to have them return `null` in these cases – in some contexts that’s actually not bad.
- ▶ More in keeping with the spirit of the ADT is that such operations should generate exceptions, and the user should be responsible for throwing same, or enclosing in a try-catch block.
- ▶ General principle – each ADT tends to come with its own subclasses of `Exception` to describe the exceptions that it might generate.