Cosc 241 Programming and Problem Solving Practice with Big-O

Michael Albert michael.albert@cs.otago.ac.nz





True or false?

a)
$$n^2 = O(3n^2)$$

b) $n^2 = O(1000n)$
c) $1000n = O(n^2)$
d) $n^2 = O(n^2 - 1000n)$

Answers

- a) Intuitively, what does it mean to say that f = O(1)?
- b) What parts of an algorithm or process typically have running times bounded by O(1)?



In each of the following give the 'best' *O* bound you can for the given expressions (here 'best' means - the simplest form that captures the essential growth rate of the given expression).

b)
$$1000n^2 + 2^n/1000$$

c)
$$(n-2)^3$$



Extension

Given two functions f(n) and g(n) is it necessarily the case that either f(n) = O(g(n)) or g(n) = O(f(n))? What if both functions are increasing?

Answers

- a) $n^2 = O(3n^2)$ True. In fact $n^2 \le 3n^2$ for all positive integers n.
- b) $n^2 = O(1000n)$ False. No matter how big a constant A we choose, if n > 1000Athen $n^2 > A \times (1000n)$.
- c) $1000n = O(n^2)$ True. For n > 1000, $1000n < n^2$.
- d) $n^2 = O(n^2 1000n)$ True. If n > 2000 then $1000n < n^2/2$, so $n^2 - 1000n > n^2/2$. In particular if we choose A = 2 (or anything bigger), then for n > 2000, $n^2 \le A(n^2 - 1000n)$.

Back

O(1)

- a) Intuitively, what does it mean to say that f = O(1)? Formally, it means that there is some constant A such that for sufficiently large n, $f(n) \le A$. Since f takes on only finitely many values before n is "sufficiently large", we can say there is a constant C such that for all n, $f(n) \le C$. So, the intuitive meaning, is that f(n) is bounded above by some fixed constant.
- b) What parts of an algorithm or process typically have running times bounded by O(1)?
 Typically the preprocessing and postprocessing phases have such bounds. It should only take constant time to set up, e.g., the user interface for a program or to shut it down.



'Best' bounds

In each of the following give the 'best' O bound you can for the given expressions (here 'best' means - the simplest form that captures the essential growth rate of the given expression).

a)
$$24 + 12n^3 + 103n = O(n^3)$$

The largest of the three terms (for large n) is $12n^3$ but we don't include any constants since O doesn't care.

c)
$$(n-2)^3 = O(n^3)$$

 $(n-2)^3$ and n^3 are not that different, so we ignore the constant offset. Or, expand

$$(n-2)^3 = n^3 - 6n^2 + 12n - 8$$

and then argue as in the first example.



don't care

Extension

Given two functions f(n) and g(n) is it necessarily the case that either f(n) = O(g(n)) or g(n) = O(f(n))? No. Consider f(n) defined as: if n is odd, f(n) = 1, and if n is even f(n) = n. Define g(n) similarly: if n is odd, g(n) = n, and if n is even f(n) = 1. Then, for odd n, $g(n) = n \times f(n)$ so $g(n) \neq O(f(n))$, while the corresponding argument for even nshows that $f(n) \neq O(g(n))$.

What if both functions are increasing?

No, but constructing an example is a bit trickier. One way is to define f(n) and g(n) recursively: f(1) = g(1) = 1, and for even n, f(n) is n times one more than the maximum of f(n-1) and g(n-1), while for odd n, f(n) is equal to one more than the maximum of f(n-1) and g(n-1) plus 1. Define g similarly switching even and odd. Then, both are increasing, but otherwise the analysis for the previous example applies.

▲ Back]