COSC244 Lecture 7: Data Ethics

Alistair Knott

Dept. of Computer Science, University of Otago

There are several different areas in CS where ethical issues arise.

There are several different areas in CS where ethical issues arise.

• Data ethics:

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?

There are several different areas in CS where ethical issues arise.

• Data ethics:

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- Artificial Intelligence (AI) ethics:
 - What smart algorithms should you be able to run on people's data? How should we ensure these algorithms are *fair*, and *transparent*?

There are several different areas in CS where ethical issues arise.

• Data ethics:

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- Artificial Intelligence (AI) ethics:
 - What smart algorithms should you be able to run on people's data? How should we ensure these algorithms are *fair*, and *transparent*?
- Internet ethics:
 - How should you behave on the internet? (As a user/provider of information?)
 - How should behaviour on the internet be regulated?

There are several different areas in CS where ethical issues arise.

• Data ethics:

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- Artificial Intelligence (AI) ethics:
 - What smart algorithms should you be able to run on people's data? How should we ensure these algorithms are *fair*, and *transparent*?

Internet ethics:

- How should you behave on the internet? (As a user/provider of information?)
- How should behaviour on the internet be regulated?
- Intellectual Property (IP) law:
 - How can people protect the works they create? (Esp. software...)
 - How can people disseminate their works to others?

Ethics/Law in our CS curriculum

- Computers, ethics and society (COMP150)
- Internet ethics/law (COMP112)
- Al ethics (COSC343, COSC420)
- Machine Learning ethics (COSC471)
- Computer vision ethics (COSC450)
- Data ethics/law (COSC244)
- Intellectual Property law (COMP112, COSC345)

Nearly all the questions I posed at the start use the word 'should'.

Nearly all the questions I posed at the start use the word 'should'.

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- What algorithms should you be able to run on people's data?
- How should we ensure these algorithms are fair, and transparent?
- How should you behave on the internet?
- How should behaviour on the internet be regulated?

Nearly all the questions I posed at the start use the word 'should'.

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- What algorithms should you be able to run on people's data?
- How should we ensure these algorithms are fair, and transparent?
- How should you behave on the internet?
- How should behaviour on the internet be regulated?

The word 'should' can be understood in two ways:

Nearly all the questions I posed at the start use the word 'should'.

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- What algorithms should you be able to run on people's data?
- How should we ensure these algorithms are fair, and transparent?
- How should you behave on the internet?
- How should behaviour on the internet be regulated?

The word 'should' can be understood in two ways:

What is required ethically? (I.e. what's right???)

Nearly all the questions I posed at the start use the word 'should'.

- What personal data should you be able to obtain / use / store?
- What data should you disseminate / make public?
- What algorithms should you be able to run on people's data?
- How should we ensure these algorithms are fair, and transparent?
- How should you behave on the internet?
- How should behaviour on the internet be regulated?

The word 'should' can be understood in two ways:

- What is required *ethically*? (I.e. what's right???)
- What is required *legally*? (In some particular country.)

I'll discuss two topics today.

- One relates to privacy: people have certain rights to privacy...
- One relates to freedom of information: people have certain rights to know things.

I'll first discuss the ethical issues surrounding these topics... Then I'll discuss how they're dealt with in NZ Law.

Privacy and freedom of information: Ethical issues

Can you give some examples of information you should be allowed to keep private?

Can you give some examples of information you are entitled to know?

Privacy and freedom of information: Ethical issues

Can you give some examples of information you should be allowed to keep private?

- Your religion
- Your sexuality
- Your political opinions (especially your voting preferences)
- Where you live
- What you read, what you do in your private life, where you go...

Can you give some examples of information you are entitled to know?

Privacy and freedom of information: Ethical issues

Can you give some examples of information you should be allowed to keep private?

- Your religion
- Your sexuality
- Your political opinions (especially your voting preferences)
- Where you live
- What you read, what you do in your private life, where you go...

Can you give some examples of information you are entitled to know?

- How the government works (i.e. spends your money)
- What the government is doing with your personal data
- What companies are doing with your personal data

In practice, the government (and companies) very often want to use your personal data. And you might well want them to. In practice, the government (and companies) very often want to use your personal data. And you might well want them to.

A way to manage this is for these organisations to ask for your consent.

In practice, the government (and companies) very often want to use your personal data. And you might well want them to.

A way to manage this is for these organisations to ask for your consent.

Consent is an important ethical principle:

- You might want someone to infringe on your privacy...
- To negotiate this, they need your consent.

Can you think of cases where the right to privacy *conflicts* with the right to freedom of information?

Can you think of cases where the right to privacy *conflicts* with the right to freedom of information?

• A good example concerns knowledge of criminal activity. People living near a criminal have a right to know of potential dangers...but the criminal has a right to privacy.

Can you think of cases where the right to privacy *conflicts* with the right to freedom of information?

- A good example concerns knowledge of criminal activity. People living near a criminal have a right to know of potential dangers...but the criminal has a right to privacy.
- For us, a key case is where organisations use personal data. We have a right to know how governments/companies are using this data... but people have a right to keep their data private.

Can you think of cases where the right to privacy *conflicts* with the right to freedom of information?

- A good example concerns knowledge of criminal activity. People living near a criminal have a right to know of potential dangers...but the criminal has a right to privacy.
- For us, a key case is where organisations use personal data. We have a right to know how governments/companies are using this data... but people have a right to keep their data private.

Can you think of ways we might *resolve* these conflicts?

Can you think of cases where the right to privacy *conflicts* with the right to freedom of information?

- A good example concerns knowledge of criminal activity. People living near a criminal have a right to know of potential dangers...but the criminal has a right to privacy.
- For us, a key case is where organisations use personal data. We have a right to know how governments/companies are using this data... but people have a right to keep their data private.

Can you think of ways we might *resolve* these conflicts?

• A useful technique is data anonymisation. An organisation using personal data can make the data available...

But anonymise it, so individuals can't be identified.

Personal data is *extremely valuable*! Increasingly so.

Personal data is *extremely valuable*! Increasingly so.

 Many internet companies see customer data as their principal commercial asset. (For example?)

Personal data is *extremely valuable*! Increasingly so.

• Many internet companies see customer data as their principal commercial asset. (For example?)

If you *consent* to a company exploiting your data commercially, they can do it. (But do users know what they're consenting to?)

Personal data is *extremely valuable*! Increasingly so.

• Many internet companies see customer data as their principal commercial asset. (For example?)

If you *consent* to a company exploiting your data commercially, they can do it. (But do users know what they're consenting to?)

 Government agencies also have a lot of commercially valuable data. (For example?)

Covid monitoring apps are a good current example.

Covid monitoring apps are a good current example.

• If everyone uses monitoring apps, this helps control the epidemic...

Covid monitoring apps are a good current example.

- If everyone uses monitoring apps, this helps control the epidemic...
- But contact tracing involves transmission of personal data. (E.g. location data.)

Covid monitoring apps are a good current example.

- If everyone uses monitoring apps, this helps control the epidemic...
- But contact tracing involves transmission of personal data. (E.g. location data.)

Do we want to compromise privacy for the sake of public health?
Monitoring apps have been used for two purposes in the covid epidemic:

- For quarantine enforcement (in self-isolation)
- For contact-tracing (of all citizens).

Monitoring apps have been used for two purposes in the covid epidemic:

- For quarantine enforcement (in self-isolation)
- For contact-tracing (of all citizens).

For both kinds of app, use can be:

Voluntary

Monitoring apps have been used for two purposes in the covid epidemic:

- For quarantine enforcement (in self-isolation)
- For contact-tracing (of all citizens).

For both kinds of app, use can be:

Voluntary

Citizens can choose to download an app (or choose not to)

Monitoring apps have been used for two purposes in the covid epidemic:

- For quarantine enforcement (in self-isolation)
- For contact-tracing (of all citizens).

For both kinds of app, use can be:

Voluntary

Citizens can choose to download an app (or choose not to)

Obligatory

Monitoring apps have been used for two purposes in the covid epidemic:

- For quarantine enforcement (in self-isolation)
- For contact-tracing (of all citizens).

For both kinds of app, use can be:

Voluntary

Citizens can choose to download an app (or choose not to)

Obligatory

Phone locations are monitored for all citizens

Monitoring apps have been used for two purposes in the covid epidemic:

- For quarantine enforcement (in self-isolation)
- For contact-tracing (of all citizens).

For both kinds of app, use can be:

Voluntary

Citizens can choose to download an app (or choose not to)

Obligatory

Phone locations are monitored for all citizens

- E.g. in South Korea, for quarantine
- E.g. in China, for quarantine and contact tracing

Two methods for *gathering* information:

Two methods for *gathering* information:

• Active: The user has to 'sign in' (e.g. to shops, cafes, workplaces)

Two methods for *gathering* information:

• Active: The user has to 'sign in' (e.g. to shops, cafes, workplaces) Venues have to collaborate, by providing a QR code

Two methods for *gathering* information:

- Active: The user has to 'sign in' (e.g. to shops, cafes, workplaces) Venues have to collaborate, by providing a QR code
- Passive: contact registering happens automatically E.g. through bluetooth, phone localisation

Two methods for *gathering* information:

- Active: The user has to 'sign in' (e.g. to shops, cafes, workplaces) Venues have to collaborate, by providing a QR code
- Passive: contact registering happens automatically E.g. through bluetooth, phone localisation

In passive methods, there are two ways of registering contact:

Two methods for *gathering* information:

- Active: The user has to 'sign in' (e.g. to shops, cafes, workplaces) Venues have to collaborate, by providing a QR code
- Passive: contact registering happens automatically E.g. through bluetooth, phone localisation

In passive methods, there are two ways of registering contact:

Indirect: people register at businesses, workplaces
 E.g. NZCOVIDTracker, Rippl

Two methods for *gathering* information:

- Active: The user has to 'sign in' (e.g. to shops, cafes, workplaces) Venues have to collaborate, by providing a QR code
- Passive: contact registering happens automatically E.g. through bluetooth, phone localisation

In passive methods, there are two ways of registering contact:

- Indirect: people register at businesses, workplaces
 E.g. NZCOVIDTracker, Rippl
- Direct: contacts between people are registered 'peer-to-peer' (Only possible with bluetooth)
 - E.g. The Australian govt's 'Covidsafe' app

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Local storage:
 - Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness
- Contact information is only accessed centrally when tracing contacts of people known to be sick

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness
- Contact information is only accessed centrally when tracing contacts of people known to be sick
- Positive contacts can be reported centrally...
- or only shown to users

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness
- Contact information is only accessed centrally when tracing contacts of people known to be sick
- Positive contacts can be reported centrally...
- or only shown to users
- Centralised storage
 - Everyone's contacts are stored on one (government) database

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness
- Contact information is only accessed centrally when tracing contacts of people known to be sick
- Positive contacts can be reported centrally...
- or only shown to users
- Centralised storage
 - Everyone's contacts are stored on one (government) database
 - We don't rely on users reporting sickness

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

• Local storage:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness
- Contact information is only accessed centrally when tracing contacts of people known to be sick
- Positive contacts can be reported centrally...
- or only shown to users

Centralised storage

- Everyone's contacts are stored on one (government) database
- We don't rely on users reporting sickness
- Single point of failure...

Two basic ways of *storing* contact data:

• Local storage:

- Each person's contacts are stored on their own phone
- Other people are anonymised in these records
- It's normally the user's choice to report sickness
- Contact information is only accessed centrally when tracing contacts of people known to be sick
- Positive contacts can be reported centrally...
- or only shown to users

Centralised storage

- Everyone's contacts are stored on one (government) database
- We don't rely on users reporting sickness
- Single point of failure...
- Will the government use this database for other purposes?

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

• Their system is called the exposure notification framework (ENF).

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

- Their system is called the exposure notification framework (ENF).
- It's voluntary, local, passive, direct.

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

- Their system is called the exposure notification framework (ENF).
- It's voluntary, local, passive, direct.
- Users have to choose to report illness.

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

- Their system is called the exposure notification framework (ENF).
- It's voluntary, local, passive, direct.
- Users have to choose to report illness.

There are open-source equivalents: e.g. TCN ('temporary contact numbers').

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

- Their system is called the exposure notification framework (ENF).
- It's voluntary, local, passive, direct.
- Users have to choose to report illness.

There are open-source equivalents: e.g. TCN ('temporary contact numbers').

These solutions are hackable—but large-scale hacking seems hard.

In April 2020, Google and Apple announced changes to Android & iOS to enable bluetooth-based (local) contact-tracing.

- Their system is called the exposure notification framework (ENF).
- It's voluntary, local, passive, direct.
- Users have to choose to report illness.

There are open-source equivalents: e.g. TCN ('temporary contact numbers').

These solutions are hackable—but large-scale hacking seems hard.

Who do you trust more with your health data: Governments, or big tech companies?

As regards privacy (and consent), the key NZ act is the new Privacy Act (2020), governing the use of *personal data*, by private or public agencies.

As regards privacy (and consent), the key NZ act is the new Privacy Act (2020), governing the use of *personal data*, by private or public agencies. Here are the key points:

• There must be a *lawful purpose* behind gathering the data, connected to the agency's function. (Data can't be gathered 'for no purpose'.)

As regards privacy (and consent), the key NZ act is the new Privacy Act (2020), governing the use of *personal data*, by private or public agencies. Here are the key points:

- There must be a *lawful purpose* behind gathering the data, connected to the agency's function. (Data can't be gathered 'for no purpose'.)
- Personal data must be gathered 'directly' from the person—and their consent is required. (But consent is *implied* if the person is *aware* that the data is being gathered, and they don't object.)

As regards privacy (and consent), the key NZ act is the new Privacy Act (2020), governing the use of *personal data*, by private or public agencies. Here are the key points:

- There must be a *lawful purpose* behind gathering the data, connected to the agency's function. (Data can't be gathered 'for no purpose'.)
- Personal data must be gathered 'directly' from the person—and their consent is required. (But consent is *implied* if the person is *aware* that the data is being gathered, and they don't object.)
- Transparency: the agency is obliged to make the person aware that their data is being gathered—and aware of the purpose for which it's being gathered.

As regards privacy (and consent), the key NZ act is the new Privacy Act (2020), governing the use of *personal data*, by private or public agencies. Here are the key points:

- There must be a *lawful purpose* behind gathering the data, connected to the agency's function. (Data can't be gathered 'for no purpose'.)
- Personal data must be gathered 'directly' from the person—and their consent is required. (But consent is *implied* if the person is *aware* that the data is being gathered, and they don't object.)
- Transparency: the agency is obliged to make the person aware that their data is being gathered—and aware of the purpose for which it's being gathered.
- The *method* by which data is collected must not be 'unreasonably intrusive'.
The Privacy act (2020) continued:

• Security: there must be methods in place to *protect* the data that has been gathered (keep it safe).

The Privacy act (2020) continued:

- Security: there must be methods in place to *protect* the data that has been gathered (keep it safe).
- Data should not be used without *checking it for accuracy* (in cases where it influences important decisions, e.g. credit approval).

The Privacy act (2020) continued:

- Security: there must be methods in place to *protect* the data that has been gathered (keep it safe).
- Data should not be used without *checking it for accuracy* (in cases where it influences important decisions, e.g. credit approval).
- The agency won't *keep* the data for longer than is needed (for the purpose it was collected for).

The Privacy act (2020) continued:

- Security: there must be methods in place to *protect* the data that has been gathered (keep it safe).
- Data should not be used without *checking it for accuracy* (in cases where it influences important decisions, e.g. credit approval).
- The agency won't *keep* the data for longer than is needed (for the purpose it was collected for).

There's a Privacy Commissioner who oversees compliance to this Act (who people can complain to).

The Privacy act (2020) continued:

- Security: there must be methods in place to *protect* the data that has been gathered (keep it safe).
- Data should not be used without *checking it for accuracy* (in cases where it influences important decisions, e.g. credit approval).
- The agency won't *keep* the data for longer than is needed (for the purpose it was collected for).

There's a Privacy Commissioner who oversees compliance to this Act (who people can complain to).

• Liability under the Act requires a breach of some principle, but also 'some loss or harm to the individual'. Harm can include humiliation, loss of dignity, hurt feelings but must be 'significant'.

A couple of new things in the 2020 Privacy Act:

A couple of new things in the 2020 Privacy Act:

• If your organisation has a *data breach*, you are obliged to notify the Privacy Commissioner (as soon as practically possible).

A couple of new things in the 2020 Privacy Act:

- If your organisation has a *data breach*, you are obliged to notify the Privacy Commissioner (as soon as practically possible).
- Personal information that is sent *offshore* (e.g. for cloud storage) is subject to the same privacy safeguards as apply in NZ.

A couple of new things in the 2020 Privacy Act:

- If your organisation has a *data breach*, you are obliged to notify the Privacy Commissioner (as soon as practically possible).
- Personal information that is sent offshore (e.g. for cloud storage) is subject to the same privacy safeguards as apply in NZ. (Unless the person in question agrees to the lower offshore privacy standards.)

A couple of new things in the 2020 Privacy Act:

- If your organisation has a *data breach*, you are obliged to notify the Privacy Commissioner (as soon as practically possible).
- Personal information that is sent offshore (e.g. for cloud storage) is subject to the same privacy safeguards as apply in NZ. (Unless the person in question agrees to the lower offshore privacy standards.)

The latter principle echoes the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

A couple of new things in the 2020 Privacy Act:

- If your organisation has a *data breach*, you are obliged to notify the Privacy Commissioner (as soon as practically possible).
- Personal information that is sent offshore (e.g. for cloud storage) is subject to the same privacy safeguards as apply in NZ. (Unless the person in question agrees to the lower offshore privacy standards.)

The latter principle echoes the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

 If you want to do business in the EU, you need to comply with the GDPR too!

As regards freedom of information, the key NZ act is the Official Information Act (1982), covering information held by *government agencies*.

As regards freedom of information, the key NZ act is the Official Information Act (1982), covering information held by *government agencies*.

• The basic principle is that *information shall be made available unless there is good reason for withholding it.*

As regards freedom of information, the key NZ act is the Official Information Act (1982), covering information held by *government agencies*.

- The basic principle is that *information shall be made available unless there is good reason for withholding it.*
- Information can be withheld on several grounds—in particular, if it's necessary to protect the privacy of individuals.

There's a push in NZ (and other countries) towards 'open data' in government. (Suitably anonymised, of course.)

In NZ, this is done under the Open Government Data Programme.

 NZ is ranked 6th in the world in the Open Data Barometer global rankings 'for readiness, implementation and impact of open data'.

NZ is also a global leader in 'integrated' government data.

NZ is also a global leader in 'integrated' government data.

The Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) project is a large database of information (over 166 billion facts) about people in NZ. It's drawn from several areas:

• Stats NZ (the census), Health, Housing, Justice, Education, Work and Income...

NZ is also a global leader in 'integrated' government data.

The Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) project is a large database of information (over 166 billion facts) about people in NZ. It's drawn from several areas:

• Stats NZ (the census), Health, Housing, Justice, Education, Work and Income...

Data for individuals is *linked* across areas. (The Privacy and Statistics acts require linked data to be anonymised—which can be quite tricky to do.)

NZ is also a global leader in 'integrated' government data.

The Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) project is a large database of information (over 166 billion facts) about people in NZ. It's drawn from several areas:

• Stats NZ (the census), Health, Housing, Justice, Education, Work and Income...

Data for individuals is *linked* across areas. (The Privacy and Statistics acts require linked data to be anonymised—which can be quite tricky to do.)

The IDI is only used 'for approved research projects that are in the public interest'.

NZ is also a global leader in 'integrated' government data.

The Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) project is a large database of information (over 166 billion facts) about people in NZ. It's drawn from several areas:

• Stats NZ (the census), Health, Housing, Justice, Education, Work and Income...

Data for individuals is *linked* across areas. (The Privacy and Statistics acts require linked data to be anonymised—which can be quite tricky to do.)

The IDI is only used 'for approved research projects that are in the public interest'. (But there's some talk that we might sell the data to other agencies—e.g. big healthcare providers. Is that okay?)

Integrated data in other countries

Integrated data in other countries

In the US, the rules about privacy are less restrictive: a lot of data integration is done by commercial companies.

 'In the US, almost all personal data is for sale. For example, if you want to know where Jewish women live, you can simply buy this information, phone numbers included.' (Grassegger and Krogerus, 2017)

Integrated data in other countries

In the US, the rules about privacy are less restrictive: a lot of data integration is done by commercial companies.

 'In the US, almost all personal data is for sale. For example, if you want to know where Jewish women live, you can simply buy this information, phone numbers included.' (Grassegger and Krogerus, 2017)

In China, the rules about privacy allow government agencies to gather/integrate personal data very freely.

• The Chinese government aims to use its data-gathering powers to position itself as a global leader in AI.

Bias in government data

It's quite easy for bias to creep into datasets (both public and private).

Bias in government data

It's quite easy for *bias* to creep into datasets (both public and private).

This bias can be self-perpetuating. Consider:

- Police have more patrols in Māori areas, and in poor areas.
- So they find more crime in these areas.
- So crime data disproportionately feature these areas.
- So police have more patrols in these areas...

Bias in government data

It's quite easy for *bias* to creep into datasets (both public and private).

This bias can be self-perpetuating. Consider:

- Police have more patrols in Māori areas, and in poor areas.
- So they find more crime in these areas.
- So crime data disproportionately feature these areas.
- So police have more patrols in these areas...

Māori groups are responding to this issue with an interesting initiative: the Data Sovereignty Programme.

 This programme advocates for Māori involvement in the governance of data repositories, and for processes that ensure the quality of data about Māori. (The IDI is a particular focus.)

In Dec 2018, Australia passed a new law: any organisation which hosts data in Australia is required to give authorities access to their IT system, if the government requests it.

In Dec 2018, Australia passed a new law: any organisation which hosts data in Australia is required to give authorities access to their IT system, if the government requests it.

• That might mean they have to provide a backdoor for encrypted data.

In Dec 2018, Australia passed a new law: any organisation which hosts data in Australia is required to give authorities access to their IT system, if the government requests it.

- That might mean they have to provide a backdoor for encrypted data.
- Amazon's local AWS cloud platform is based in Sydney: it hosts data for many of NZ's biggest organisations (e.g. Xero, Orion Health).

In Dec 2018, Australia passed a new law: any organisation which hosts data in Australia is required to give authorities access to their IT system, if the government requests it.

- That might mean they have to provide a backdoor for encrypted data.
- Amazon's local AWS cloud platform is based in Sydney: it hosts data for many of NZ's biggest organisations (e.g. Xero, Orion Health).

What are the benefits and risks in giving the government a back door to encrypted data?

In Dec 2018, Australia passed a new law: any organisation which hosts data in Australia is required to give authorities access to their IT system, if the government requests it.

- That might mean they have to provide a backdoor for encrypted data.
- Amazon's local AWS cloud platform is based in Sydney: it hosts data for many of NZ's biggest organisations (e.g. Xero, Orion Health).

What are the benefits and risks in giving the government a back door to encrypted data?

- Benefits: helps the government monitor criminal/terrorist activity.
- Risks: if there's a back door, someone else could find it!

Some URLs

NZ's Privacy Act:

https://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2020/ 0031/latest/LMS23223.html

NZ Government's Open Data Programme: https://www.data.govt.nz/

NZ's Integrated Data Infrastructure:

https://www.stats.govt.nz/integrated-data/ integrated-data-infrastructure/

The Māori Data Sovereignty network:

https://www.temanararaunga.maori.nz/