

SPACES OF MATRICES WITH SEVERAL ZERO EIGENVALUES

M. D. ATKINSON

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over some field F , $|F| \geq n$, and let \mathcal{X} be a space of linear mappings from V into itself ($\mathcal{X} \leq \text{Hom}(V, V)$) with the property that every mapping has at least r zero eigenvalues. If $r = 0$ this condition is vacuous but if $r = 1$ it states that \mathcal{X} is a space of singular mappings; in this case Flanders [1] has shown that $\dim \mathcal{X} \leq n(n-1)$ and that if $\dim \mathcal{X} = n(n-1)$ then either $\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}(V, U)$ for some $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace U of V or there exists $v \in V, v \neq 0$ such that $\mathcal{X} = \{X \in \text{Hom}(V, V) : vX = 0\}$. At the other extreme $r = n$, the case of nilpotent mappings, a theorem of Gerstenhaber [2] states that $\dim \mathcal{X} \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ and that if $\dim \mathcal{X} = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ then \mathcal{X} is the full algebra of strictly lower triangular matrices (with respect to some suitable basis of V).

In this paper we intend to derive similar results for a general value of r thereby providing some common ground for the above theorems. The condition on the cardinal of F plays an important role in our proofs although we do not know whether it is essential to the theorems. Flanders required also the constraint $\text{char } F \neq 2$ at one point in his proof; we do not require this and in fact our type of argument allows the field in Flanders' theorem to have arbitrary characteristic.

THEOREM 1. *Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over some field F , $|F| \geq n$, and let \mathcal{X} be a subspace of $\text{Hom}(V, V)$. Suppose that every mapping in \mathcal{X} has at least r zero eigenvalues. Then $\dim \mathcal{X} \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) + n(n-r)$.*

Proof. Gerstenhaber's theorem proves our result in the case $n-r = 0$ and provides the first step of an induction on $n-r$. Assume now that $n > r > 0$ and that the theorem holds for spaces whose transformations all have more than r zero eigenvalues. Since $\frac{1}{2}r(r+1) + n(n-r-1) < \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) + n(n-r)$ we can suppose that \mathcal{X} contains a transformation with precisely r zero eigenvalues. By an appropriate choice of basis for V we may take this to be (represented by)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with A_1 an $r \times r$ nilpotent matrix and A_2 non-singular. By taking A_1 in rational canonical form we may take it to be strictly lower triangular. From now on we shall take \mathcal{X} to consist of $n \times n$ matrices $\begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$ partitioned so that J is an $r \times r$ matrix.

Consider any matrix $B \in \mathcal{X}$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$. For all $\alpha \in F$ $\begin{pmatrix} J + \alpha A_1 & K \\ 0 & N + \alpha A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ has at least r zero eigenvalues and, except for at most $n-r$ eigenvalues of $-A_2^{-1}N$, $N + \alpha A_2$ is non-singular. Hence for all but at most $n-r$ values of α , $J + \alpha A_1$ is

Received 24 May, 1979.

nilpotent. However the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of $J + \alpha A_1$ are polynomials in α which, since A_1 is singular, are of degree at most $r-1$. The non-leading coefficients of the characteristic polynomial all vanish for at least r values of α (since $|F| \geq n$) and so are identically zero i.e. $J + \alpha A_1$ is nilpotent for all α and in particular J is nilpotent. Let \mathcal{J} be the space of nilpotent $r \times r$ matrices which arise in this way; then $\dim \mathcal{J} \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$.

Let J_1, \dots, J_t be a basis for \mathcal{J} and consider the r^2 t -vectors l_{pq} whose k -th component is $(J_k)_{pq}$. Since $t \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$ we may choose a set L of $\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$ index pairs (p, q) such that $\{l_{pq} : (p, q) \in L\}$ spans the space generated by all the l_{pq} . Let U be the complementary set of $\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)$ index pairs. Then every $r \times r$ matrix J may be written uniquely as $J = J_L + J_U$ where $(J_L)_{pq} = 0$ if $(p, q) \in U$ and $(J_U)_{pq} = 0$ if $(p, q) \in L$. By the choice of L we have the condition $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and $J_L = 0$ implies $J = 0$, or equivalently

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_L + J_U & K \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \quad \text{and} \quad J_L = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad J_U = 0. \quad (*)$$

By expressing each matrix of \mathcal{X} in the form $\begin{pmatrix} J_L + J_U & K \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$ we may define a linear map ϕ on \mathcal{X} by

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_L + J_U & K \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} J_L & 0 \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by (*), $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \right\}$ is the kernel of ϕ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \left\{ K : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \right\}, & \mathcal{L} &= \left\{ J_L : \begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M} &= \left\{ M : \begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \right\}, & \mathcal{N} &= \left\{ N : \begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\dim \mathcal{X} = \dim \text{range}(\phi) + \dim \text{kernel}(\phi)$

$$\leq \dim \mathcal{L} + \dim \mathcal{M} + \dim \mathcal{N} + \dim \mathcal{K}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) + (n-r)^2 + \dim \mathcal{M} + \dim \mathcal{K}$$

and the proof will be completed when we have shown that $\dim \mathcal{M} + \dim \mathcal{K} \leq r(n-r)$. To do this we shall construct a non-singular bilinear form $\theta: \mathcal{A}_{r, n-r} \times \mathcal{A}_{n-r, r} \rightarrow F$ where $\mathcal{A}_{r, n-r}, \mathcal{A}_{n-r, r}$ denote the full spaces of $r \times (n-r), (n-r) \times r$ matrices over F and show that θ vanishes on $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M}$. This will prove that \mathcal{M} is contained in the annihilator of \mathcal{K} which has dimension $r(n-r) - \dim \mathcal{K}$, giving $\dim \mathcal{M} \leq r(n-r) - \dim \mathcal{K}$ as required.

Consider any matrices $K \in \mathcal{K}, M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exist $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (y_{ij}) \in \mathcal{X}$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} J & H \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} = (x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $X + \alpha A + \beta Y$ has at least r zero eigenvalues for all

α, β the coefficient of $\alpha^{n-r-1}\beta\lambda^{r-1}$ in $\det(X + \alpha A + \beta Y - \lambda I)$ is zero (see [2] p. 615). The total coefficient of λ^{r-1} in this determinant is (apart from a sign) the sum of all $(n-r+1)$ -rowed principal minors of $X + \alpha A + \beta Y$ and we require the coefficient of $\alpha^{n-r-1}\beta$ in this sum. Consider a typical one of these minors

$$M(S, T) = \det \begin{pmatrix} J' + \alpha A'_1 & H' + \beta K' \\ M' & N' + \alpha A'_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $J' + \alpha A'_1$ is the principal submatrix of $X + \alpha A + \beta Y$ whose rows are indexed by the set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $N' + \alpha A'_2$ is the principal submatrix whose rows are indexed by the set $T \subseteq \{r+1, \dots, n\}$ and where $|S \cup T| = n-r+1$. By direct calculation the coefficient of $\alpha^{n-r-1}\beta$ in this minor is, to within a sign,

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j \in S \\ s,t \in T}} C_{ij} D_{st} y_{it} x_{sj}$$

where (C_{ij}) is the matrix of cofactors of A'_1 and (D_{st}) is the matrix of cofactors of A'_2 . We shall express such a coefficient as

$$yZx^t$$

where

$$y = (y_{1,r+1}, \dots, y_{1n}, y_{2,r+1}, \dots, y_{2n}, \dots, y_{r,r+1}, \dots, y_{rn}),$$

$$x = (x_{r+1,1}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{r+1,2}, \dots, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{r+1,r}, \dots, x_{nr}),$$

and Z is an $r(n-r) \times r(n-r)$ matrix whose entries depend upon (C_{ij}) and (D_{st}) .

If $|S| > 1$ then, since A'_1 is strictly lower triangular, $C_{ij} = 0$ for $i, j \in S, i \geq j$ and the matrix Z then has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with zeros above the diagonal and where each diagonal 0 represents an $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ matrix of zeros.

If $|S| = 1$, say $S = \{i\}$, then $|T| = n-r, C_{ii} = 1$ and $(D_{st}) = E$ is the matrix of cofactors of A_2 . In this case the matrix Z has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & & E & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where each diagonal block is an $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ matrix, E is the i -th diagonal block and there are zeros elsewhere.

Summing over all appropriate S, T we obtain the coefficient of $\alpha^{n-r-1} \beta \lambda^{r-1}$ in the form yZx' where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} E & & & \\ & E & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & E \end{pmatrix}$$

and has zeros above the diagonal. Since A_2 is non-singular so also is E and thus Z is non-singular. This matrix Z defines our non-singular bilinear form vanishing on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}$ and so completes the proof of the theorem.

In the next theorem we consider the case where $\dim \mathcal{X}$ is maximal and determine the possibilities for \mathcal{X} . We first prove

LEMMA. *Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over some field $F, |F| \geq n$, and let \mathcal{X} be a subspace of $\text{Hom}(V, V)$. Suppose that W_1 and W_2 are \mathcal{X} -invariant subspaces of V such that*

- (i) $\dim W_1 = s_1, \dim V/W_2 = s_2, \dim W_1 \cap W_2 = t,$
- (ii) \mathcal{X} induces spaces of nilpotent mappings in each of W_1 and $V/W_2,$
- (iii) every transformation in \mathcal{X} has at least r zero eigenvalues.

Then $\dim \mathcal{X} \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) + n(n-r) - (s_1-t)(n-s_2-t).$

Proof. $W_1 + W_2$ has dimension $\dim W_1 + \dim W_2 - \dim W_1 \cap W_2 = s_1 + n - s_2 - t$ and we may choose a basis of it having the form

$$e_1, \dots, e_t, f_1, \dots, f_{s_1-t}, g_1, \dots, g_{n-s_2-t}$$

such that e_1, \dots, e_t is a basis for $W_1 \cap W_2, e_1, \dots, e_t, f_1, \dots, f_{s_1-t}$ is a basis for $W_1,$ and $e_1, \dots, e_t, g_1, \dots, g_{n-s_2-t}$ is a basis for $W_2.$ We complete this to a basis of V with $h_1, \dots, h_{s_2-s_1+t}.$

With respect to this basis each transformation of \mathcal{X} has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1 & N_2 & 0 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 & B & 0 \\ A_3 & A_4 & A_5 & N_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

N_1 and N_2 are nilpotent $t \times t$ and $(s_1-t) \times (s_1-t)$ matrices since \mathcal{X} restricted to W_1 is a space of nilpotent mappings. N_3 is a nilpotent $(s_2-s_1+t) \times (s_2-s_1+t)$ matrix since \mathcal{X} induces nilpotent transformations on $V/(W_1 + W_2).$ Since the whole matrix has at least r zero eigenvalues the $(n-s_2-t) \times (n-s_2-t)$ matrix B has at least $r-s_2-t$ zero

eigenvalues. Now, by Theorem 1, the space of all $\begin{pmatrix} N_1 & 0 \\ A_1 & N_2 \end{pmatrix}$ has dimension at most $\frac{1}{2}s_1(s_1 - 1)$, the space of all matrices N_3 has dimension at most

$$\frac{1}{2}(s_2 - s_1 + t)(s_2 - s_1 + t - 1),$$

and the space of all matrices B has dimension at most

$$\frac{1}{2}(r - s_2 - t)(r - s_2 - t - 1) + (n - s_2 - t)(n - r).$$

A routine calculation now shows that

$$\dim \mathcal{X} \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1) + n(n - r) - (s_1 - t)(n - s_2 - t).$$

THEOREM 2. *Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over some field F , $|F| \geq n$, and let \mathcal{X} be a subspace of $\text{Hom}(V, V)$. Suppose that every mapping in \mathcal{X} has at least r zero eigenvalues and that \mathcal{X} has dimension precisely $\frac{1}{2}r(r - 1) + n(n - r)$. Then V contains \mathcal{X} -invariant subspaces V_1, V_2 with $V_1 \leq V_2$ and $\dim V_1 + \dim V/V_2 = r$ and such that \mathcal{X} operates on each of V_1 and V/V_2 as the full algebra of strictly lower triangular matrices (with respect to suitable bases). Moreover the subspaces V_1, V_2 are uniquely determined by \mathcal{X} unless $r = n$.*

Proof. We continue to use the machinery and notation $A, L, U, \phi, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{K}, \theta$ introduced in the proof of Theorem 1.

From

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1) + n(n - r) = \dim \mathcal{X} &\leq \dim \mathcal{L} + \dim \mathcal{N} + \dim \mathcal{M} + \dim \mathcal{K} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1) + (n - r)^2 + \dim \mathcal{M} + \dim \mathcal{K} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1) + (n - r)^2 + r(n - r) = \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1) + n(n - r) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\dim \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}r(r - 1), \dim \mathcal{N} = (n - r)^2, \dim \mathcal{M} + \dim \mathcal{K} = r(n - r)$$

and \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K} are the full annihilators of each other with respect to the bilinear form θ .

Moreover, identifying \mathcal{L} with $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : L \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$ etc., we have $\text{range}(\phi) = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$.

In particular $\text{range}(\phi)$ contains a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ and so \mathcal{X} contains a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. This latter matrix is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ and so we could have taken this matrix as our matrix A to begin with. Let us suppose that this had been done. With this choice of A the bilinear form $\theta(U, V)$ is easily seen to be $\text{tr}(UV)$.

Now let $X = \begin{pmatrix} H & J \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$ be any matrix in \mathcal{X} and consider the characteristic polynomial $\det(X + \alpha A - \lambda I)$. In this polynomial the coefficients of

$\alpha^{n-r}, \alpha^{n-r}\lambda, \dots, \alpha^{n-r}\lambda^{r-1}$ are all zero and are plainly equal to the coefficients of $\lambda^0, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{r-1}$ in $\det(H - \lambda I)$. Thus H is nilpotent. Let \mathcal{H} be the space of matrices H arising in this way. Then $\frac{1}{2}r(r-1) = \dim \mathcal{L} \leq \dim \mathcal{H} \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$ by Gerstenhaber's theorem and so $\dim \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$. By Gerstenhaber's theorem again \mathcal{H} may be reduced to the full algebra of strictly lower triangular matrices with a similarity matrix T . Therefore by transforming \mathcal{X} by the similarity matrix $\begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ we can take \mathcal{H} to be the full algebra of strictly lower triangular matrices. Having done this we may compute the coefficient of $\alpha^{n-r-1}\lambda^{r-1}$ in $\det(X + \alpha A - \lambda I)$. This yields $\text{tr}(JM) = 0$.

Consider any matrix $Y = \begin{pmatrix} H & K \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ in \mathcal{X} . Then for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ M & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}$ and we have $\text{tr}(JM) = 0$ and, since $Y+Z \in \mathcal{X}$, $\text{tr}((K+J)M) = 0$. Hence $\text{tr}(KM) = 0$ and K is in the annihilator of \mathcal{M} with respect to θ i.e. $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence \mathcal{X} contains $\begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ for all strictly lower triangular H and all N .

Now we show that either every matrix in \mathcal{X} has first row zero or that every matrix in \mathcal{X} has r -th column zero. Suppose that this is not so, in which case we may find some matrix $X = (x_{ij})$ which has neither first row nor r -th column zero, say $x_{1j}x_{ir} \neq 0$ for some $i, j > r$. As shown above \mathcal{X} contains also the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ where H has ones in the $(t+1, t)$ position, $t = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and zeros elsewhere and N is any matrix with a single one in every row and column except for the i -th row and j -th column and zeros elsewhere. But then $x_{1j}x_{ir}$ is the coefficient of α^{n-2} in $\det(X + \alpha W)$ and so is zero since $r \geq 1$, a contradiction.

In terms of matrices the existence part of the theorem asserts that the matrices of \mathcal{X} are simultaneously similar to matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ A & L_2 & B \\ C & 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where L_1, L_2 are strictly lower triangular matrices of degrees r_1, r_2 with $r_1 + r_2 = r$. We can now prove this statement by induction taking as an inductive hypothesis that the statement is true for smaller values of n and all appropriate values of r .

In the case where the matrices of \mathcal{X} have zero first row we consider the space of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices \mathcal{X} obtained by deleting the first row and first column. It is clear that each matrix of \mathcal{X} has at least $r-1$ zero eigenvalues and hence $\dim \mathcal{X} \leq \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2) + (n-1)(n-r)$. On the other hand from the definition of \mathcal{X} , $\dim \mathcal{X} \geq \dim \mathcal{X} - (n-1) = \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2) + (n-1)(n-r)$. Thus equality holds and the inductive hypothesis can be applied to \mathcal{X} . Hence, for some non-singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix T the matrices of $T^{-1}\mathcal{X}T$ have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} L'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ A & L_2 & B \\ C & 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with L'_1, L_2 strictly lower triangular of degree $r_1 - 1, r_2$ and $r_1 - 1 + r_2 = r - 1$. But then taking $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}$ the matrices of $S^{-1}\mathcal{X}S$ have the required form.

In the case where the matrices of \mathcal{X} have zero r -th column we use a similar argument taking $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$ to be the space obtained by deleting the r -th row and r -th column from the matrices of \mathcal{X} .

Finally, returning to the language of vector spaces and linear mappings, suppose that U_1, U_2 are two subspaces of V which have all the stated properties of V_1, V_2 . Let

$$W_1 = U_1 + V_1, W_2 = U_2 \cap V_2, \dim W_1 = s_1, \dim V/W_2 = s_2, \dim W_1 \cap W_2 = t.$$

Then \mathcal{X} induces nilpotent mappings in both W_1 and V/W_2 and therefore \mathcal{X}, W_1, W_2 satisfy all the conditions of the lemma. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}r(r-1) + n(n-r) \leq \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) + n(n-r) - (s_1 - t)(n - s_2 - t).$$

But $(s_1 - t)(n - s_2 - t)$ is non-negative and so is zero. Hence $s_1 = t$ or $n = s_2 + t$. From $s_1 = t$ it follows that $W_1 \leq W_2$ i.e. $U_1 + V_1 \leq U_2 \cap V_2$ and hence $U_1 = V_1, U_2 = V_2$ since otherwise all transformations in \mathcal{X} would have more than r zero eigenvalues, contradicting Theorem 1. From $n = s_2 + t$ it follows that $W_2 \leq W_1$ and \mathcal{X} is a space of nilpotent matrices i.e. $r = n$.

References

1. H. Flanders, "On spaces of linear transformations with bounded rank", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 37 (1962), 10-16.
2. M. Gerstenhaber, "On nilalgebras and linear varieties of nilpotent matrices I", *Amer. J. Math.*, 80 (1958), 614-622.

Department of Computing Mathematics,
University College,
Cardiff.